

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				* * *	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,925	04/09/2001	Hiroshi Kajiwara	35.C12124 REI	5185	
5514 7590 FITZPATRICK CE	01/16/2007 LLA HARPER & SCIN	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFELLE	R PLAZA	CHEN, WENPENG			
NEW YORK, NY 1	0112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· . ·			2624		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PER	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTH		01/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09	09/827,925 KAJIWARA, HIROSHI		OSHI	
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit		
		We	enpeng Chen	2624		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communion or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum staure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, causi	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed  SONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 19 July 2	004 and 01 April 10	010.		
2a)□			on is non-final.	<u> </u>		
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex pa</i>	arte Quayle, 1935 C	D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		•	•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	re withdrawn fr	om consideration.			
, 5)⊠	Claim(s) 9-13 is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepte	d or b)□ objected t	to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the draw	ing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	ve been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	ve been received in	Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority d	ocuments have be	en received in this National	Stage	
	application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* *	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of th	e certified copies n	ot received.		
Attachmen	` '		🗂 .			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	/	5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application		
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other: _	·		

Application/Control Number: 09/827,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application

is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR

1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/2004 has been entered.

Examiner's Statement

2. A final review by Ken Wieder, SPRE 2600, concluded that the present

cases has following issues:

a. A supplemental declaration is needed for the amendment filed 7/19/04.

b. The assent of assignee and certification under 37 CFR 3.73(b) filed

12/23/02 is defective. It is not signed by someone having apparent authority to sign on

behalf of assignee and no statement that they are empowered to sign was included. See

MPEP 324.

c. It appears that the original declaration filed 7/21/03 is not signed. Also, at

paragraph one of the declaration, the amendment date must be completed.

(Items 2a and 2c above can be corrected by a single declaration.)

Rejections Based on Defective Oath/Declaration

Application/Control Number: 09/827,925 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

3. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR

1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

(1) The original declaration filed 7/21/03 was not signed.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37

CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

(2) A supplemental declaration is needed for the amendment filed 7/19/04.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under

35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will

overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in

the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

See MPEP § 1414.01.

**Objections** 

5. The person who signed the submission establishing ownership interest, in the

assent of assignee and certification under 37 CFR 3.73(b) filed 12/23/02, is not recognized as an

officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf

of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.

This application is still objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01. A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 is required in reply to this Office action.

## Examiner's Statement with regard to Claims 1-8

- 6. The amendment to Claims 1, 7, and 8 as presently presented defines the reissue Claims 1-8 the same scope as their corresponding patent claims. Therefore, if the Applicants would file a corrected reissue declaration, it would overcome the rejections to Claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 251, set forth in paper #11 mailed on 4/15/2004, as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based.
- 7. The amended Claims 1-8 filed on 7/19/2004 are not rejectable over the prior art.

  The reason for this conclusion is similar to that set forth in the original application. The prior art fails to teach apparatus of Claim 1, method of Claim 7, and medium of Claim 8 which specifically comprise the limitations of:
- -- judging an appearing prediction error difference and an unappearing prediction error difference on the basis of the first prediction error difference, wherein the second prediction error difference is not used in the judging operation;

Application/Control Number: 09/827,925

Art Unit: 2624

-- changing a first relationship between prediction error difference and encoding data to a second corresponding relationship between prediction error difference and encoding data according to a result obtained in the judging operation;

-- encoding means for encoding the second prediction error difference on the basis of the appearing and unappearing prediction error differences judged by said judging means and one of the first and second corresponding relationships to obtain corresponding encoding data.

## Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

8. Claims 9-13 are allowed.

The examiner's statement of reasons for allowance of Claims 9-13 has been provided in papers #5.

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7431. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular

Application/Control Number: 09/827,925

Art Unit: 2624

communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Wenpeng Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624 Page 6

January 9, 2007

Wen ch